

α -null sets: strong and weak

Mikhail Andreev, Akim Kumok
Moscow State University

Classical measure theory

- ▶ We will talk about Cantor space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ and uniform measure on it. Interval $x\Omega$ in this space is set of all sequences with fixed prefix x . Its size is equal to $2^{-|x|}$ (uniform measure on Ω).

Classical measure theory

- ▶ We will talk about Cantor space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ and uniform measure on it. Interval $x\Omega$ in this space is set of all sequences with fixed prefix x . Its size is equal to $2^{-|x|}$ (uniform measure on Ω).
- ▶ Subset $X \subset \Omega$ has measure zero (is a *null set*) if for every ε there exists a countable set of intervals with two properties:

Classical measure theory

- ▶ We will talk about Cantor space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ and uniform measure on it. Interval $x\Omega$ in this space is set of all sequences with fixed prefix x . Its size is equal to $2^{-|x|}$ (uniform measure on Ω).
- ▶ Subset $X \subset \Omega$ has measure zero (is a *null set*) if for every ε there exists a countable set of intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ These intervals cover X
 - ▶ The size of this set of intervals is less than ε

Hausdorff dimension

Hausdorff dimension

- ▶ To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets. Fix some $\alpha < 1$. Define α -size of an interval $x\Omega$ as value $2^{-\alpha|x|}$ ($= (\text{size})^\alpha$).

Hausdorff dimension

- ▶ To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets. Fix some $\alpha < 1$. Define α -size of an interval $x\Omega$ as value $2^{-\alpha|x|}$ ($= (\text{size})^\alpha$).
- ▶ Definition of α -null sets is similar to the definition of classical null sets:
Subset $X \subset \Omega$ is an α -null set, if for every ε there exists a (countable) set of intervals with two properties:

Hausdorff dimension

- ▶ To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets. Fix some $\alpha < 1$. Define α -size of an interval $x\Omega$ as value $2^{-\alpha|x|}$ ($= (\text{size})^\alpha$).
- ▶ Definition of α -null sets is similar to the definition of classical null sets:
Subset $X \subset \Omega$ is an α -null set, if for every ε there exists a (countable) set of intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ these intervals cover X
 - ▶ « α -size» of this set of intervals is less than ε

Hausdorff dimension

- ▶ To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets. Fix some $\alpha < 1$. Define α -size of an interval $x\Omega$ as value $2^{-\alpha|x|}$ ($= (\text{size})^\alpha$).
- ▶ Definition of α -null sets is similar to the definition of classical null sets:
Subset $X \subset \Omega$ is an α -null set, if for every ε there exists a (countable) set of intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ these intervals cover X
 - ▶ « α -size» of this set of intervals is less than ε
- ▶ Smaller $\alpha \rightarrow$ stronger condition.

Hausdorff dimension

- ▶ To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets. Fix some $\alpha < 1$. Define α -size of an interval $x\Omega$ as value $2^{-\alpha|x|}$ ($= (\text{size})^\alpha$).
- ▶ Definition of α -null sets is similar to the definition of classical null sets:
Subset $X \subset \Omega$ is an α -null set, if for every ε there exists a (countable) set of intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ these intervals cover X
 - ▶ « α -size» of this set of intervals is less than ε
- ▶ Smaller $\alpha \rightarrow$ stronger condition.
- ▶ Hausdorff dimension of set X : the infimum of α such that X is α -null.

Effective version

- ▶ *Effectively null sets.*

Effective version

- ▶ *Effectively null sets.* Subset X is an effectively null set, if there exists an algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates intervals with two properties:

Effective version

- ▶ *Effectively null sets.* Subset X is an effectively null set, if there exists an algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ These intervals cover X
 - ▶ size of the set of intervals is less than ε

Effective version

- ▶ *Effectively null sets.* Subset X is an effectively null set, if there exists an algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ These intervals cover X
 - ▶ size of the set of intervals is less than ε
- ▶ Main theorem (Martin-Löf) shows the difference between (classical) null sets and effectively null sets: there exists a maximum effectively null set.

Effective Hausdorff dimension

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

- ▶ Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha < 1$. Subset $X \subset \Omega$ is an effectively α -null set, if there exists an algorithm M : given ε it enumerates intervals with two properties:

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

- ▶ Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha < 1$. Subset $X \subset \Omega$ is an effectively α -null set, if there exists an algorithm M : given ε it enumerates intervals with two properties:
 - ▶ these intervals covers X
 - ▶ « α -size» of the set of intervals is less than ε

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?
- ▶ We can define it in several ways:
 - ▶ Sum of sizes of the intervals in the set.
 - ▶ Size of union of the intervals in the set.

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?
- ▶ We can define it in several ways:
 - ▶ Sum of sizes of the intervals in the set.
 - ▶ Size of union of the intervals in the set.
- ▶ They are different if intervals are not disjoint.

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?
- ▶ We can define it in several ways:
 - ▶ Sum of sizes of the intervals in the set.
 - ▶ Size of union of the intervals in the set.
- ▶ They are different if intervals are not disjoint.
- ▶ Classic null sets, there is no difference. Why?

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?
- ▶ We can define it in several ways:
 - ▶ Sum of sizes of the intervals in the set.
 - ▶ Size of union of the intervals in the set.
- ▶ They are different if intervals are not disjoint.
- ▶ Classic null sets, there is no difference. Why? We can leave only maximal intervals.

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?
- ▶ We can define it in several ways:
 - ▶ Sum of sizes of the intervals in the set.
 - ▶ Size of union of the intervals in the set.
- ▶ They are different if intervals are not disjoint.
- ▶ Classic null sets, there is no difference. Why? We can leave only maximal intervals.
- ▶ In the effective case this technique doesn't work: we don't know which intervals are maximal.

Things are not so easy

- ▶ How to measure the size of a set of intervals?
- ▶ We can define it in several ways:
 - ▶ Sum of sizes of the intervals in the set.
 - ▶ Size of union of the intervals in the set.
- ▶ They are different if intervals are not disjoint.
- ▶ Classic null sets, there is no difference. Why? We can leave only maximal intervals.
- ▶ In the effective case this technique doesn't work: we don't know which intervals are maximal.
- ▶ An other trick: if we have first interval I_1 and then $I_2 \supset I_1$, replace I_2 by $I_2 \setminus I_1$ (= a finite union of disjoint intervals).
- ▶ No problems for effective null sets.

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?
- ▶ It is not well defined (α -size is not additive):
$$\alpha\text{-size}(x\Omega) < \alpha\text{-size}(x_0\Omega) + \alpha\text{-size}(x_1\Omega)$$

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?
- ▶ It is not well defined (α -size is not additive):
$$\alpha\text{-size}(x\Omega) < \alpha\text{-size}(x_0\Omega) + \alpha\text{-size}(x_1\Omega)$$
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of maximal intervals only: *weak* α -null sets.

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?
- ▶ It is not well defined (α -size is not additive):
$$\alpha\text{-size}(x\Omega) < \alpha\text{-size}(x_0\Omega) + \alpha\text{-size}(x_1\Omega)$$
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of maximal intervals only: *weak* α -null sets.
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of all intervals: *strong* α -null sets.

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?
- ▶ It is not well defined (α -size is not additive):
$$\alpha\text{-size}(x\Omega) < \alpha\text{-size}(x0\Omega) + \alpha\text{-size}(x1\Omega)$$
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of maximal intervals only: *weak* α -null sets.
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of all intervals: *strong* α -null sets.
- ▶ In non-effective case there is no difference between - we can leave only maximal intervals.

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?
- ▶ It is not well defined (α -size is not additive):
$$\alpha\text{-size}(x\Omega) < \alpha\text{-size}(x_0\Omega) + \alpha\text{-size}(x_1\Omega)$$
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of maximal intervals only: *weak* α -null sets.
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of all intervals: *strong* α -null sets.
- ▶ In non-effective case there is no difference between - we can leave only maximal intervals.
- ▶ If $\alpha = 1$, than no difference (see above).

Effective α -null sets: more subtle

- ▶ What is α -size of union of intervals?
- ▶ It is not well defined (α -size is not additive):
$$\alpha\text{-size}(x\Omega) < \alpha\text{-size}(x0\Omega) + \alpha\text{-size}(x1\Omega)$$
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of maximal intervals only: *weak* α -null sets.
- ▶ Sum α -sizes of all intervals: *strong* α -null sets.
- ▶ In non-effective case there is no difference between - we can leave only maximal intervals.
- ▶ If $\alpha = 1$, than no difference (see above).
- ▶ In effective case these two definitions are non-equivalent.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

- ▶ Between weak and strong null sets there exists one more class of null sets: Solovay null sets.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

- ▶ Between weak and strong null sets there exists one more class of null sets: Solovay null sets.
- ▶ Borel-Cantelli lemma from measure theory: X is a null set iff there exists a set of intervals with finite sum of sizes, covering every point of X infinitely many times.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

- ▶ Between weak and strong null sets there exists one more class of null sets: Solovay null sets.
- ▶ Borel-Cantelli lemma from measure theory: X is a null set iff there exists a set of intervals with finite sum of sizes, covering every point of X infinitely many times.
- ▶ Similar result from algorithmic randomness (Solovay randomness criterion): X is an effectively null set iff there exists a computably enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of sizes covering every point of X infinitely many times.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

- ▶ Between weak and strong null sets there exists one more class of null sets: Solovay null sets.
- ▶ Borel-Cantelli lemma from measure theory: X is a null set iff there exists a set of intervals with finite sum of sizes, covering every point of X infinitely many times.
- ▶ Similar result from algorithmic randomness (Solovay randomness criterion): X is an effectively null set iff there exists a computably enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of sizes covering every point of X infinitely many times.
- ▶ Similarly we can define Solovay α -null sets: X is a *Solovay effectively null set* if there exists computable enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of α -sizes that covers every point in X infinitely many times.

Effective α -null sets: final picture

Effective α -null sets: final picture

- ▶ There is the smallest class of effectively α null sets - strong α -null sets. There exists a maximum strong null set.

Effective α -null sets: final picture

- ▶ There is the smallest class of effectively α null sets - strong α -null sets. There exists a maximum strong null set.
- ▶ Next class - Solovay α -null sets. There is no maximum Solovay α -null set.

Effective α -null sets: final picture

- ▶ There is the smallest class of effectively α null sets - strong α -null sets. There exists a maximum strong null set.
- ▶ Next class - Solovay α -null sets. There is no maximum Solovay α -null set.
- ▶ The biggest class: weak α -null sets. Again there exists maximum weak null set.

Our results

Our results

- ▶ One more equivalent definition of weak α -null sets, that simplified the proofs. Combinatorial tool: Ford-Fulkerson theorem; randomness relative to a class of measures.

Our results

- ▶ One more equivalent definition of weak α -null sets, that simplified the proofs. Combinatorial tool: Ford-Fulkerson theorem; randomness relative to a class of measures.
- ▶ Stronger result about difference between weak and strong α -null sets: there exists a weak effectively α -null set with strong effective size 1. (Every c.e. set of intervals covering this set has sum of α -sizes at least 1).

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of families of disjoint intervals (supremum over all disjoint subfamilies).

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of families of disjoint intervals (supremum over all disjoint subfamilies).
- ▶ Infimum of sum fo α -sizes of other families intervals, covering (the union of) our family.

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of families of disjoint intervals (supremum over all disjoint subfamilies).
- ▶ Infimum of sum fo α -sizes of other families intervals, covering (the union of) our family.
- ▶ Max measure $\mu(\cup I_k)$, for all μ in some class (class of α -capacitable measures: measures such that for all intervals size determined by this measure is less then its α -size).

Game

Game

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they color nodes of infinity binary tree).

Game

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they color nodes of infinity binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals.

Game

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they color nodes of infinity binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals.
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn.

Game

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they color nodes of infinity binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals.
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn.
- ▶ If after every Bob turn strong α -size of his intervals less then 1 or Alice take more than ε weak α -size, then Bob wins, otherwise Alice wins.

Game

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they color nodes of infinity binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals.
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn.
- ▶ If after every Bob turn strong α -size of his intervals less then 1 or Alice take more than ε weak α -size, then Bob wins, otherwise Alice wins.

In this game Alice can always win.